

Salmon Recovery Funding Board Individual Comment Form

Lead Entity	Date	Application Complete	Status
Early App. Review-Site Visit	6/22/2011	No	NMI
July Review Panel Mtg.	7/6/2011		
Post Application Final	8/2011		

Status Options

NMI	Need More Information
POC	Project of Concern (Post Application and Final only)
FLAGGED	Needs full panel discussion
CLEAR	Project has been reviewed by SRFB Review Panel and is okay to continue in funding process.

Lead Entity: Kalispel Tribe

Project Number: 11-1516 R

Project Name: Middle Branch LeClerc Creek Restoration Phase II

Project Sponsor: Kalispel Tribe

Grant Manager: Dave Caudill

Date: 7/7/2011

Panel Member(s) Name: Steve Toth and Kelley Jorgensen

Early Project Status: NMI

Project Site Visit? Yes 6/22/2011

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria.

2. Missing Pre-application information.

The approach is technically sound and the benefits will be significant when realized, however this complex multiphase project proposal would be strengthened by adding/clarifying the following details in the final application:

- Updated budget as noted on the site visit (nice work reducing the cost!);
- Please describe the PUD instream/large wood project(s) planned to enhance habitats in the area that was mentioned on the site visit;
- How will you address the surface water/groundwater/seeps/springs management issue that are evident from the earlier County phase that are likely to arise during future phases?; and
- Please provide a map showing all the phases/elements/partner, describing which phases have been completed, which are planned and for what year, and which partners are doing what and with what funding.

3. Comments/Questions:

Project Summary: Upon completion, all phases of this project will have removed 7 culverts in 4 locations and obliterated over 3 miles of road along the Middle Branch LeClerc Creek – wow!

EARLY APPLICATION REVIEW / SITE VISIT - LEAD ENTITY & PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: Lead Entity or Sponsor must post their response to Review Panel comments in **PRISM** with document name: Response to Review Panel Comments. Attach this as a separate document in PRISM to become part of your application, and send your grant manager an e-mail.

All Flagged and NMI projects will be reviewed at the July 6th full Review Panel meeting. Sponsor responses received no later than one week prior to the meeting will be considered by the Review Panel.

Salmon Recovery Funding Board Individual Comment Form

Response:

*Attach Response to PRISM, and send your Grant Manager an e-mail.
Grant Manager will put in the PRISM attachment number here.*

Date:

Panel Member(s) Name:

Early Project Status:

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria.

2. Missing Pre-application information.

3. Comments/Questions:

JULY 6TH REVIEW PANEL MEETING - LEAD ENTITY & PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: Lead Entity or Sponsor must post their response to Review Panel comments in **PRISM** with document name: Response to Review Panel Comments. Attach this as a separate document in PRISM to become part of your application, and send your grant manger an e-mail.

Response:

*Attach Response to PRISM, and send your Grant Manager an e-mail.
Grant Manager will put in the PRISM attachment number here.*

Date:

Panel Member(s) Name:

Application Project Status:

Refer to Manual # 18, Appendix E-1, for projects that are not considered technically sound. In the "Why" box explain your reason for selecting this as a project of concern.

1. Is this a draft project of concern (POC) according to the SRFB's criteria? (Yes or No)

Why?

2. If YES, what would make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria?

3. If NO, are there ways in which this project could be further improved?

4. Other comments:

POST APPLICATION - LEAD ENTITY & PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: Lead Entity or Sponsor must post their response to Review Panel comments in **PRISM** with document name: Response to Review Panel Comments. Attach this as a separate document in PRISM to become part of your application, and send your grant manger an e-mail.

Response:

Attach Response to PRISM, and send your Grant Manager an e-mail.

Grant Manager will put in the PRISM attachment number here.

Date:

Panel Member(s) Name:

Final Project Status:

Refer to Manual # 18, Appendix E-1, for projects that are not considered technically sound. In the "Why" box, explain your reason for selecting this as a project of concern.

1. Is this a project of concern (POC) according to the SRFB's criteria? (Yes or No)

Why?

2. If YES, what would make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria?

3. If NO, are there ways in which this project could be further improved?

4. Other comments: